1			
2	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3	In the Matter of		
5	in the Matter Of		
6	MUR 6254 CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE		
7	Dr. Lowry Election Committee) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM		
8	and Robert Lowes, as Treasurer)		
9)		
10			
11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
12			
13	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
14			
• •			
15	are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The		
16	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-		
17	rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial		
	raids wanter ou the wantering agency wantering me during or up brosconorus		
18	discretion to dismiss these cases. The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 6254 as a		
19	low-rated matter.		
17			
20	In this matter, the complainant, Scott Yeldell, alleges that the Dr. Lowry Election		
	m and among the compression a closely among the are are are a control among the cont		
21	Committee and Robert Lowes, in his official capacity as treasurer (collectively "the		
22	Committee III sciented the Enderel Floring Committee Act of 1071 on amended (9ths		
22	Committee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the		
23	Act"), by: (1) failing to file a 2009 Year End Report, noting that committee		
	1101 // 0/1 /17 1mm g of 110 d 1105 f on 110 point 100m g mm of 1100 g		
24	disbursements during the reporting period included a \$3,500 filing fee associated with the		
25	Republican Party of Texas, and expenses associated with airing and producing a radio		
26	advertisement and hosting a website; (2) using a corporate medical office for		
20	an vertisement and nosting a website, (2) using a corporate incurest office for		
27	campaigning and advertisements; and (3) soliciting and/or accepting excessive and		
20	and ibited contributions		

1 In response to the complaint, Dr. Lowry asserts that the Committee timely filed its 2009 Year End Report, which the Committee was required to file by January 31, 2010. 2 3 The Report disclosed unitemized disbursements totaling \$13,235 and an itemized 4 disbursement of \$300 for advertising. In response to the allegation that the Committee impermissibly used a corporate medical office for campaigning and advertisement. 5 6 Dr. Lowry states that he advised people to come to his office to pick up materials from his pick-up truck. Finally, in response to the allegation that the Committee solicited and 7 accepted excessive and prohibited contributions, Dr. Lowry notes that the Committee 9 understood that accepting a \$5,000 individual contribution would have been a violation 10 of law, but asserts that "the note was placed in its manner so as to be symmetric to the \$5.00 dollars."² Dr. Lowry further asserts that: (1) at no time was a contribution over 11 \$2,400 per person received by the Committee; (2) while the Committee solicited 12 contributions and support from "businesses and organizations," those terms do not 13 necessarily refer to prohibited sources; and (3) the Committee did not accept 14 contributions from corporations, and had to return one contribution check that was drawn 15 on a corporate account.3 16

¹ The Committee's 2009 Year End Report, dated January 28, 2010, was received by the Commission on February 5, 2010.

² The language used in the solicitation cited in the complaint is as follows:

Financial Support – Dr. Lowry for Congress also needs financial contributions. Every dollar helps and anything you can do is appreciated, whether you give \$5, \$50, \$500 or \$5,000. You can donate on our website at www.drlowryforcongress.com. Please also let us know if you know of a business or other organization who might be interested in providing a financial contribution.

³ The Committee's disclosure reports appear to reflect that it did not retain any excessive or prohibited contributions. The Committee does note that it received a contribution check, which was drawn on a corporate account, but the check was returned by the Committee and later replaced with a personal check by the contributor.

MUR 6254 EPS Closing Report Page 3

1 Although a committee may not have received an excessive or prohibited 2 contribution, the mere solicitation of excessive or prohibited contributions is a violation 3 of the Act. Specifically, 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) prohibits federal candidates and their 4 agents from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds in connection with an election for federal office, including funds for federal election activity, unless the 5 6 funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions and reporting requirements of the Act. 7 With respect to the allegation that the Committee impermissibly used Dr. Lowry's 8 corporate medical office for campaigning and advertisements in violation of 2 U.S.C. 9 § 441b, Dr. Lowry's response indicates that he advised people to come to his office to 10 pick up materials from his pick-up truck, and we currently do not have information that 11 suggests otherwise. 12 Thus, it appears that the Committee solicited contributions that were not subject 13 to the limitations and prohibitions of the Act, but nevertheless did not appear to retain any 14 excessive or prohibited contributions as a result of its solicitation. Accordingly, in light 15 of the fact that the Committee apparently did not retain any illegal contributions and was only five days late in filing its 2009 Year End Report, and in furtherance of the 16 Commission's priorities and resources, and relative to other matters pending on the 17 Enforcement docket, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should 18 exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 19 U.S. 821 (1985). Additionally, this Office recommends reminding the Committee of the 20 solicitation limitations under 2 U.S.C. \$ 441i(e)(1)(A) and the timely filing requirements 21 under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a). 22

MUR 6254 EPS Closing Report Page 4

1

RECOMMENDATIONS

2	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss		
3	MUR 6254, close the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office		
4	recommends reminding Dr. Lowry Election Committee and Robert Lowes, in his official		
5	capacity as Treasurer, of the solicitation limitations under 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A) and		
6	timely filing requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a).		
7			
8			
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<u>5-/20/10</u> Date BY:	Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Tracey L. Ligon Attorney	
32 33			